arXiv:1608.00150v1 [math.CO] 30 Jul 2016

THE DISTRIBUTION OF PATH LENGTHS ON DIRECTED WEIGHTED GRAPHS

AVNER KIRO, YOTAM SMILANSKY, UZY SMILANSKY

Abstract. The main result of this note is an explicit main term for the asymptotic growth rate of the path counting function on a directed weighted graph between a given pair of vertices, under some irrationality assumptions on the lengths of all closed orbits on the graph. In addition, some basic examples are provided.

This document contains part of a future paper. Questions regarding the distribution of path lengths on directed weighted graphs are encountered in various fields of study of mathematics and physics, and accordingly our motivation for this work is diverse. The second author's motivation originates in the study of a model of mathematical quasicrystals which we call the *multi-scale substitution construction*, see for example the generalization of the pinwheel tiling which is presented in [Sa]. This construction is a generalization of the classical substitution tiling construction but is not of finite local complexity, unlike the classical substitution or the cut-and-project constructions (more regarding tilings with infinite local complexity can be found in [FS]). Different rescaling constants correspond to various distinct lengths of edges on graphs, and so instead of working with classical graphs as was done in the classical substitution case, for the multi-scale case we turn to the study of weighted graphs.

The third author's motivation is rooted in theoretical physics, specifically the study of the spectral properties of the Schrödinger operator on metric graphs [GS] and problems which arise in studies of the distribution of transit times of ultra short electromagnetic pulses through networks of transmission lines [An]. An additional motivation for such problems comes from dynamics and the study of shifts of finite type, see [PP].

After obtaining these results it was brought to our attention that Kenison and Sharp [KS], and independently Broise-Alamichel, Parkkonen and Paulin [An], have been considering similar counting problems on weighted graphs. There is substantial overlap between their results and the results we have obtained so far, and we post these notes on arXiv to describe our contribution and enable people working on these problems to compare the techniques and statements. Our goal is to continue and improve error terms for the growth rates at hand as well as to adjust the model for questions of a more probabilistic nature, and to find direct methods for realizing the growth rate for special families of graphs.

We would like to thank Anton Malyshev and Barak Weiss for their valuable contribution to this work. In addition we thank Jens Marklof, Thomas Jordan and Zemer Kosloff for helpful remarks regarding relevant results.

1. Preliminaries

Let G be a strongly connected directed weighted multigraph on n vertices $V = \{1, ..., n\}$, that is G is a graph which admits a path in each direction between every pair of vertices, with loops and multiple edges allowed. Let $E_{ij} \geq 0$ be the number of edges from vertex i to vertex j in G, and let $a_{ij}^k > 0$ denote the length of the k-th such edge. Let $M_G(s)$ be the $n \times n$ matrix defined by

$$(M_G(s))_{ij} = M_{ij}(s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{E_{ij}} e^{-s \cdot a_{ij}^k} & , E_{ij} \geq 1 \\ 0 & , E_{ij} = 0 \end{cases}.$$

We call the matrix valued function $M_G(s)$ the *graph matrix of* G. Throughout this paper we assume there exist at least two closed orbits in G of lengths a, b such that $a \notin \mathbb{Q}b$, and we call such a graph *a graph of incommensurable orbits* or *incommensurable* for short. This irrationality condition on the lengths of the edges is equivalent to the set of lengths of all closed orbits in G not being a uniformly discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

For any pair of vertices $i, j \in V$, we wish to describe the asymptotic behavior of the number of distinct paths which start at vertex i and end at vertex j, of length at most x. Denote by $N_{ij}(x)$ the corresponding counting function, that is

$$N_{ij}(x) = \#\{\text{paths from } i \text{ to } j \text{ on } G \text{ of length at most } x\}.$$

It seems clear that for a strongly connected non trivial incommensurable graph, the number of distinct paths has exponential growth, with a growth rate a constant not depending on the origin or the terminus of the family of paths. We give an explicit formula for the exponential growth function corresponding to N_{ij} in terms of the graph matrix of G.

2. Main Result

Theorem 1. *Let G be an incommensurable graph and let $M_G(s)$ be the graph matrix of G. Denote by λ_G the maximal real value for which the spectral radius of $M_G(s)$ is equal to 1. Then λ_G is a well defined positive real constant depending only on G , and*

$$N_{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_G} \cdot \frac{(\operatorname{adj}(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G)))_{ij}}{-\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{adj}(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G)) \cdot M_G'(\lambda_G))} e^{\lambda_G x} + o\left(e^{\lambda_G x}\right)$$

as $x \to \infty$, where M_G' is the entry-wise derivative of M_G.

Remark. The incommensurability assumption follows from the proof of Lemma 6 bellow.

The counting function can be written as

$$N_{ij}(x) = \sum_{\tau} c_{ij}(\tau) \cdot \chi_{[\tau,\infty)}(x)$$

where the summation is over all lengths $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ for which there exists a path of length τ from vertex i to vertex j in the graph G, the number of such distinct paths is denoted by $c_{ij}(\tau)$ and the function χ_A is the indicator function of the set A. For all $\tau > 0$, the Laplace transform of $\chi_{[\tau,\infty)}$ is

$$\int_0^\infty \chi_{[\tau,\infty)}(x) e^{-xs} dx = \int_\tau^\infty e^{-xs} dx = \frac{e^{-\tau s}}{s}.$$

In order to count paths from i to j which consist of exactly k edges, we look at exponents which appear in the i,j entry of the k-th power of $M_G(s)$, and summing over all powers we take all possible paths into account. The counting function $N_{ij}(x)$ can be expressed in terms of the graph matrix $M_G(s)$ via the Laplace transform

$$f_{ij}(s) = \int_0^\infty N_{ij}(x)\, e^{-xs} dx = \frac{1}{s}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(M_G(s)\right)^k\right)_{ij}.$$

It will be shown that the sum on the right converges absolutely for suitable values of s, and so we can indeed change the order of summation and integration as done in the above equation. This gives rise to the explicit formula

$$f_{ij}(s) = \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{\left(\operatorname{adj}\left(I_n - M_G(s)\right)\right)_{ij}}{\det\left(I_n - M_G(s)\right)}.$$

The proof for the theorem follows from the following Tauberian theorem due to Wiener and Ikehara (see chapter 8.3 in [MV]):

Theorem. *(Wiener-Ikehara) Let $N(x)$ be a non negative and increasing function on $[0,\infty)$. Suppose that the Laplace transform of $N(x)$, given by $f(s) = \int_0^\infty N(x)\, e^{-xs} dx$, converges for all s with $Re(s) > \lambda$, and that there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the function*

$$f(s) - \frac{c}{s-\lambda}$$

extends to a continuous function in the closed half-plane $Re(s) \geq \lambda$. Then

$$N(x) = ce^{\lambda x} + o\left(e^{\lambda x}\right)$$

as $x \to \infty$.

3. Examples

For the sake of clarity we first look at some examples:

Example 1. Let G be the graph with a single vertex and two loops of incommensurable lengths a and b.



Figure 3.1. Graph with one vertex and two loops.

The associated graph matrix is

$$M_G(s) = \left(e^{-as} + e^{-bs}\right)$$

and λ_G is the single real solution to the equation

$$\det\left(I_1 - M_G(s)\right) = 1 - e^{-as} - e^{-bs} = 0.$$

Since there is only one vertex we have $\operatorname{adj}\left(I_n - M_G(s)\right) = 1$ and only one counting function $N(x) = N_{11}(x)$. The Laplace transform is

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - e^{-as} - e^{-bs}}$$

and it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$N(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_G} \cdot \frac{1}{ae^{-a\lambda_G} + be^{-b\lambda_G}} e^{\lambda_G x} + o\left(e^{\lambda_G x}\right).$$

This example corresponds to the following question: Given tiles of lengths a and b, in how many different ways can you tile the interval $[0, \tau]$ for $\tau \leq x$?

Example 2. Let G be a graph with two vertices and four edges as described by the following figure



FIGURE 3.2. Graph with two vertices, two edges and two loops.

Incommensurablity translates here to the assumption that either $a \notin \mathbb{Q}d$ or $a \notin \mathbb{Q}(b+c)$ or $d \notin \mathbb{Q}(b+c)$. The graph matrix is

$$M_G(s) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-as} & e^{-bs} \\ e^{-cs} & e^{-ds} \end{pmatrix}$$

and λ_G is the largest real solution to the equation $\det(I_2 - M_G(s)) = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{adj}(I_2 - M_G(\lambda_G)) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - e^{-d\lambda_G} & e^{-b\lambda_G} \\ e^{-c\lambda_G} & 1 - e^{-a\lambda_G} \end{pmatrix} \\ M_G'(\lambda_G) &= -\begin{pmatrix} ae^{-a\lambda_G} & be^{-b\lambda_G} \\ ce^{-c\lambda_G} & de^{-d\lambda_G} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and so, for example, the number $N_{11}(x) + N_{22}(x)$ of closed orbits on G of length less than x is given by

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_G} \cdot \frac{1 - e^{-d\lambda_G} + 1 - e^{-a\lambda_G}}{ae^{-a\lambda_G} + (b+c)e^{-(b+c)\lambda_G} + de^{-d\lambda_G} - (a+d)e^{-(a+d)\lambda_G}} e^{\lambda_G x} + o\left(e^{\lambda_G x}\right).$$

Example 3. Consider a special case of Example 2 with $a = d$ and $b = c$. Note that this is a cover of the graph given in Example 1 and that the function $N_{11}(x) + N_{12}(x)$ counts the number of paths over edges of length a and b without any restrictions. We should get the same result as in Example 1 and indeed

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11}(s) + f_{12}(s) &= \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{1 - e^{-as} + e^{-bs}}{1 + e^{-2as} - 2e^{-as} - e^{-2bs}} \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{1 - e^{-as} + e^{-bs}}{\left(1 - e^{-as}\right)^2 - \left(e^{-bs}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - e^{-as} - e^{-bs}} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$N_{11}(x) + N_{12}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_G} \cdot \frac{1}{ae^{-a\lambda_G} + be^{-b\lambda_G}} e^{\lambda_G x} + o\left(e^{\lambda_G x}\right) = N(x)$$

where λ_G and $N(x)$ as described in Example 1.

4. Proof of the Theorem

We show that the constant λ_G as described in the statement of the theorem exists and that the functions

$$f_{ij}(s) = \frac{1}{s}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(M_G(s)\right)^k\right)_{ij}$$

satisfy the conditions for the Laplace transform in the Wiener-Ikehara theorem with a simple pole at $s = \lambda_G$. We note that throughout the proof we use the classical theory of Perron-Frobenius regarding the leading eigenvalue and corresponding eigenvector of primitive matrices, for which full statements and proofs can be found chapter XIII of [Ga]. Although some of the following results can be found in the literature, we include the full details for the sake of clarity.

Lemma 1. *The matrix elements of powers of $M_G(s)$ for $s = \sigma + \mathbf{i}t$ are bounded in absolute value by the corresponding matrix elements of powers of $M_G(\sigma)$.*

Proof. Indeed for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left(\left(M_G(s)\right)^k\right)_{ij}\right| &= \left|\sum_{i_1,..,i_{k-1}} M_{i,i_1}(s)\cdots M_{i_{k-1},j}(s)\right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i_1,..,i_{k-1}} \left|M_{i,i_1}(s)\right|\cdots\left|M_{i_{k-1},j}(s)\right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i_1,..,i_{k-1}} M_{i,i_1}(\sigma)\cdots M_{i_{k-1},j}(\sigma) = \left(\left(M_G(\sigma)\right)^k\right)_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Remark. This lemma is contained in a result due to Wielandt which can be found in [Ga].

For $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ the matrix $M_G(\sigma)$ is real, non negative and irreducible (because the graph G is strongly connected), and so by Perron-Frobenius there exists a dominant real eigenvalue $\mu_{PF}(\sigma)$ of multiplicity 1 corresponding to a positive eigenvector $v_{PF}(\sigma)$ satisfying

$$M_G(\sigma)\, v_{PF}(\sigma) = \mu_{PF}(\sigma)\, v_{PF}(\sigma).$$

Lemma 2. *There exists $\lambda_G > 0$ such that $\mu_{PF}(\lambda_G) = 1$ and for every $\sigma > \lambda_G$ the corresponding dominant eigenvalue satisfies $\mu_{PF}(\sigma) < 1$.*

Proof. For all σ there exists $\mu_{PF}(\sigma)$ which by Perron-Frobenius is a simple eigenvalue and is thus a simple zero of the characteristic polynomial of $M_G(\sigma)$. As such it is differential in terms of the coefficients of this polynomial and so $\mu_{PF}(\sigma)$ is differential in terms of the entries of $M_G(\sigma)$, which are all differential functions of σ, that is $\mu_{PF}(\sigma)$ is differential. For corresponding right and left positive eigenvectors $v_{PF}(\sigma), w_{PF}(\sigma)$ the following formula holds (see Theorem 6.3.12 in [HJ])

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma}\mu_{PF}(\sigma) = \frac{w_{PF}^T(\sigma)\, M_G'(\sigma)\, v_{PF}(\sigma)}{w_{PF}^T(\sigma)\, v_{PF}(\sigma)}.$$

Since the eigenvectors are positive, and the entry-wise derivative of M_G is strictly negative, we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma}\mu_{PF}(\sigma) < 0 \tag{4.1}$$

and in particular μ_{PF} is monotone decreasing. Recall that $\mu_{PF}(0)$ is the largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of the non trivial strongly connected graph G and so $\mu_{PF}(0) > 1$. Moreover, since all elements of $M_G(\sigma)$ tend to zero as σ tends to infinity, so does the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue. Therefore there exists a finite $\lambda_G > 0$ for which $\mu_{PF}(\lambda_G) = 1$ and $\mu_{PF}(\sigma) < 1$ for all $\sigma > \lambda_G$. □

We conclude that

Lemma 3. *There exists a minimal $\lambda_G \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(M_G(s))^k$ converges for all $s = \sigma + \mathbf{i}t$ with $\sigma > \lambda_G$. In this case,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(M_G(s))^k = (I_n - M_G(s))^{-1} = \frac{\operatorname{adj}(I_n - M_G(s))}{\det(I_n - M_G(s))}$$

and so

$$f_{ij}(s) = \frac{1}{s}\cdot\frac{(\operatorname{adj}(I_n - M_G(s)))_{ij}}{\det(I_n - M_G(s))}$$

and the functions $f_{ij}(s)$ are analytic in $\sigma > \lambda_G$.

Proof. The Lemma follows because for $\sigma > \lambda_G$, as in Lemma 2, the geometric sum $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(M_G(\sigma))^k$ converges, and by the inequality in Lemma 1 so does $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(M_G(s))^k$. □

The following lemmata show that the functions $f_{ij}(s)$ can be meromorphically continued to the line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \lambda_G$ with a single simple pole at $s = \lambda_G$ and a residue as described in Theorem 1.

Lemma 4. *The matrix $\operatorname{adj}(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G))$ has positive entries.*

The proof is taken from [Ga], we give it in more detail:

Proof. First, recall that $\mu_{PF}(\lambda_G) = 1$, and so 1 is an eigenvalue of $M_G(\lambda_G)$ and $\det(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G)) = 0$. By Perron-Frobenius, the rank of $I_n - M_G(\lambda_G)$ is $n-1$ and so there exists an invertible $n-1$ dimensional minor of $I_n - M_G(\lambda_G)$. This shows that $\operatorname{adj}(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G))$ is not the zero matrix.

Since

$$(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G))\cdot\operatorname{adj}(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G)) = \det(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G))\cdot I_n = \mathbf{0},$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G))\cdot[\operatorname{adj}(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G))u] = 0$$

and so $\operatorname{adj}(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G))u$ is in the kernel of $(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G))$. By Perron-Frobenius this kernel is 1-dimensional and contains a positive vector v_{PF}, and so for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists $\alpha_u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\operatorname{adj}(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G))u = \alpha_u v_{PF}.$$

Putting $u = e_j$ we deduce that if the j-th column of $\operatorname{adj}(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G))$ is non zero, then in fact all its entries are non zero and have the same sign, because $v_{PF} > 0$. A similar argument holds for the rows by looking at the transpose

of $\mathrm{adj}\left(I_n - M_G\left(\lambda_G\right)\right)$, and so combining all the above we see that all entries of $\mathrm{adj}\left(I_n - M_G\left(\lambda_G\right)\right)$ are non zero and of the same sign.

We now show that the sign is positive. First, note that it is equal to the sign of $\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{adj}\left(I_n - M_G\left(\lambda_G\right)\right)\right)$, which by Jacobi's formula is equal to the derivative of the characteristic polynomial of $M_G\left(\lambda_G\right)$ at $x = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dx}p_{M_G(\lambda_G)}\left(x\right)|_{x=1} &= \frac{d}{dx}\det\left(xI_n - M_G\left(\lambda_G\right)\right)|_{x=1} \\ &= \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{adj}\left(xI_n - M_G\left(\lambda_G\right)\right)I_n|_{x=1}\right) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{adj}\left(I_n - M_G\left(\lambda_G\right)\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since 1 is the largest root of the characteristic polynomial this derivative is positive, and so is the sign of all entries of $\mathrm{adj}\left(I_n - M_G\left(\lambda_G\right)\right)$. □

Lemma 5. *The function $f_{ij}\left(s\right)$ has a simple pole at λ_G.*

Proof. The point $s = \lambda_G$ is a singular point of $f_{ij}\left(s\right)$, because by the previous lemma the numerator $\left(\mathrm{adj}\left(I_n - M_G\left(\lambda_G\right)\right)\right)_{ij}$ is non zero while the denominator has a zero at λ_G. It thus enough to show that the zero of $\det\left(I_n - M_G\left(s\right)\right)$ at λ_G is a simple one. The characteristic polynomial of $M_G\left(s\right)$ is given by

$$p_{M_G(s)}\left(x\right) = \det\left(xI_n - M_G\left(s\right)\right) = \left(x - \alpha_1\left(s\right)\right)\cdots\left(x - \alpha_n\left(s\right)\right)$$

where $\alpha_1(s) = \mu_{PF}(s)$ is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue. Therefore $\alpha_1\left(\lambda_G\right) = 1$, and $\alpha_j\left(s\right) \neq 1$ for $j \geq 2$ in a small neighborhood of λ_G, and

$$\det\left(I_n - M_G\left(s\right)\right) = \left(1 - \alpha_1\left(s\right)\right)\cdots\left(1 - \alpha_n\left(s\right)\right).$$

It follows from equation (4.1) that the function $\left(1 - \alpha_1\left(s\right)\right)$ has a simple zero at λ_G, and the same holds for the function $\det\left(I_n - M_G\left(s\right)\right)$. □

Lemma 6. *For all $t \neq 0$*

$$\det\left(I - M_G\left(\lambda_G + \mathbf{i}t\right)\right) \neq 0,$$

that is $f_{ij}\left(s\right)$ has no other poles on the line $Re\left(s\right) = \lambda_G$ than at λ_G itself.

Proof. For simplicity we assume that for every pair of vertices i, j in the graph G there is at most one edge from vertex i to vertex j. There is no loss of generality here because such graphs can be used to cover general multigraphs (see Section 3 for an example), and since the corresponding counting functions differ only by a multiplicative constant, so do their Laplace transforms and the position of the poles is not changed.

Denote $M_{ij} = \left(M_G\left(\lambda_G\right)\right)_{ij}$, and notice that under our assumption

$$M_{ij} = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda_G \cdot a_{ij}} & \text{, The edge between } i \text{ and } j \text{ is of length } a_{ij}. \\ 0 & \text{, Vertices } i \text{ and } j \text{ are not connected by an edge.} \end{cases}$$

Let $v = v_{PF}\left(\lambda_G\right) = \left(v_1, ..., v_n\right)$ be the positive eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\mu_{PF}\left(\lambda_G\right) = 1$ and define D to be the following invertible matrix

$$D = diag\left(v_1, ..., v_n\right), \quad D^{-1} = diag\left(\frac{1}{v_1}, ..., \frac{1}{v_n}\right).$$

The matrix given by $P = D^{-1}MD$ is a non negative, right stochastic matrix, that is, all its rows sum up to 1. Indeed, since $P_{ij} = M_{ij}v_j/v_i$, it is clear that $P_{ij} \geq 0$ and that the sum of the elements of the ith row is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{ij}\frac{v_j}{v_i} = \frac{1}{v_i}\sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{ij}v_j = \frac{v_i}{v_i} = 1.$$

Let $P(s)$ be the matrix with coefficients from P raised to the power of s, that is

$$(P(s))_{ij} = (P_{ij})^s = (M_{ij})^s \left(\frac{v_j}{v_i}\right)^s.$$

Notice that

$$P(s) = \left(D^{-1}\right)^s M_G(\lambda_G s) D^s,$$

that is $M_G(\lambda_G s)$ and $P(s)$ are similar, and in particular they have the same characteristic polynomial $p_{P(s)}(x) = p_{M_G(\lambda_G s)}(x)$. Recalling the definition of the characteristic polynomial and plugging $x = 1$ we see that

$$\det(I - M_G(\lambda_G s)) = \det(I - P(s))$$

and so it is enough to show that $\det(I - P(1 + \mathbf{i}t)) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0$.

The following argument is due to Parry (see [Pa]).

Assume $\det(I - P(1 + \mathbf{i}t)) = 0$ for some $t \neq 0$. So there exists a nonzero vector $u = (u_1, ..., u_n)$ for which

$$P(1 + \mathbf{i}t)u = u,$$

that is for all i

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij}^{1+\mathbf{i}t}u_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij}P_{ij}^{\mathbf{i}t}u_j = u_i.$$

By the triangle inequality, for all i

$$|u_i| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left|P_{ij}^{1+\mathbf{i}t}u_j\right| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij}\left|P_{ij}^{\mathbf{i}t}\right||u_j| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij}|u_j|,$$

and together with the equality

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij} = 1$$

this implies that

$$|u_1| = ... = |u_n|.$$

Assume $|u_j| = r > 0$ for all j, and notice that this means $P_{ij}^{\mathbf{i}t}u_j$ are points on a circle of radius r. We have therefore that every u_i, which is itself a point on the circle of radius r, is a convex combination (that is, a linear combination with positive coefficients all adding up to 1) of points on that same circle. This is only possible of course if $P_{ij}^{\mathbf{i}t}u_j = u_i$ for all j such that $P_{ij} \neq 0$.

Now, for any closed orbit on the graph, let $(k_1, k_2), (k_2, k_3), ..., (k_m, k_1)$ denote the corresponding sequence of edges. We get

$$\left(P_{k_1k_2}^{\mathbf{i}t}u_{k_2}\right)\left(P_{k_2k_3}^{\mathbf{i}t}u_{k_3}\right)...\left(P_{k_{m-1}k_m}^{\mathbf{i}t}u_{k_m}\right)\left(P_{k_mk_1}^{\mathbf{i}t}u_{k_1}\right) = u_{k_1}u_{k_2}...u_{k_{m-1}}u_{k_m}$$

and so

$$P_{k_1k_2}^{\mathbf{i}t}...P_{k_mk_1}^{\mathbf{i}t} = \left(P_{k_1k_2}...P_{k_mk_1}\right)^{\mathbf{i}t} = 1,$$

which gives

$$\left(M_{k_1k_2}...M_{k_mk_1}\right)^{\mathbf{i}t} = 1.$$

But

$$M_{k_1k_2}...M_{k_mk_1} = e^{-\left(a_{k_1k_2}+...+a_{k_mk_1}\right)}$$

and so there exists some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which

$$t = \frac{2\pi l}{a_{k_1k_2} + ... + a_{k_mk_1}}.$$

This hold for every closed orbit on the graph, which is a contradiction to our irrationality assumptions on the lengths of the closed orbits on our incommensurable graph G. □

Lemma 7. *The residue of $f_{ij}(s)$ at λ_G is given by*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_G} \cdot \frac{\left(\mathrm{adj}\left(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G)\right)\right)_{ij}}{-\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{adj}\left(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G)\right) \cdot M_G'(\lambda_G)\right)}$$

Proof. Recall that

$$f_{ij}(s) = \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{\left(\mathrm{adj}\left(I_n - M_G(s)\right)\right)_{ij}}{\det\left(I_n - M_G(s)\right)}$$

and we have seen that f_{ij} has a simple pole at $s = \lambda_G$, and so

$$\mathrm{Res}_{s=\lambda} f_{ij}(s) = \frac{\left(\mathrm{adj}\left(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G)\right)\right)_{ij}}{\lambda_G} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{d}{ds}\left(\det\left(I_n - M_G(s)\right)\right)|_{s=\lambda_G}}.$$

Finally, use Jacobi's formula to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{ds}\left(\det\left(I_n - M_G(s)\right)\right) &= \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{adj}\left(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G)\right) \cdot \left(I_n - M_G(s)\right)'(\lambda_G)\right) \\ &= -\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{adj}\left(I_n - M_G(\lambda_G)\right) \cdot M_G'(\lambda_G)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above we get the desired formula for the residue at hand. □

References

[An] Anlage, S., 2016. Private communication.

[BPP] Broise-Alamichel, A., Parkkonen, J. and Paulin, F., Counting paths in graphs, in preparation.

[FS] Frank, N.P. and Sadun, L., 2012. Fusion tilings with infinite local complexity. arXiv preprint arXiv:1201.3911.

[Ga] Gantmacher, F.R., 1979. The theory of matrices, vol. 2, Chelsea, New York, 1959. Mathematical Reviews (MathSciNet): MR99f, 15001.

[GS] Gavish, U. and Smilansky, U., 2007. Degeneracies in the length spectra of metric graphs. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, 40(33), p.10009.

[HJ] Horn, R.A. and Johnson, C.R., 2012. Matrix analysis. Cambridge university press.

[KS] Kenison, G. and Sharp, R., Orbit counting in conjugacy classes for free groups acting on trees, to appear in Journal of Topology and Analysis.

[MV] Montgomery, H.L. and Vaughan, R.C., 2006. Multiplicative number theory I: Classical theory (Vol. 97). Cambridge University Press.

[PP] Parry, W. and Pollicott, M., 1983. An analogue of the prime number theorem for closed orbits of Axiom A flows. Annals of mathematics, pp.573-591.

[Pa] Parry, W., 1983. An analogue of the prime number theorem for closed orbits of shifts of finite type and their suspensions. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 45(1), pp.41-52.

[Sa] Sadun, L., 1998. Some generalizations of the pinwheel tiling. Discrete & Computational Geometry, 20(1), pp.79-110.

Avner Kiro, Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel
E-mail address: avnerefrak@mail.tau.ac.ill

Yotam Smilansky, Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel
E-mail address: yotamsmi@post.tau.ac.il

Uzy Smilansky, Department of Physics of Complex Systems, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel
E-mail address: uzy.smilansky@weizmann.ac.il